(b) if so, what are the details in this regard: and

(c) whether Government propose to instal Hot Rolling facilities at a cost of Rs. 400 crores in Salem Steel Plant?

THE MINISTER OF STEEL AND MINES WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF THE MINISTRY OF LAW AND JUSTICE (SHRI DINESH GOSWAMI): (a) and (b) In March, 1988, Government sanctioned the setting up of a second Sendzimir Mill in Salem Steel Plant increasing the capacity of col_ci rolled sheets/coils from the present 32,000 tonnes per annum to 70,000 tonnes per annum at a total cost of Rs. 69.37 erores. This scheme is scheduled to be completed in Augunt, 1991,

(c) Government are considering a proposal to instal Hot Rolling Facilities for Stainless Steel at Salem Steel Plant at an estimated cost of about Rs. 331 crores.

Production capacity of Pepsi Foods

*235. SHRI DIPEN GHOSH: Will the Minister of FOOD PROCESSING INDUSTRIES be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the installed capacity of Pepsi Foods for processing of fruits and vegetables is 7,062 tonnes per annum, against a licenced capacity of 12,000 tonnes per annum;

(b) whether it is also a fact that the projected sale of fruit and vegetable products is Rs. 14.5 crores in a total sale of Rs. 52 crores for 1992; and

(c) whether the potato/grain products would be exported to make up the export obligation of self manufactured commodities.

THE MINISTER OF TEXTILES WITH ADDITIONAL CHARGE OF THE MINISTRY OF FOOD PROCESSING INDUSTRIES (SHRI SHARAD YADAV): (a) M/s. Pepsi Foods Private Ltd. have been granted a letter of intent for the manufacture of processed fruits/vegetables products for and nnual capacity of 12,000 tonnes and the letter of intent is valid for a period of three years from the date of issue within which they can establish the capacity.

(b) Ministry of Food Processing Industries do not have any such information

(c) The export obligation of M/s. Pepsi Foods Private Ltd. does not specify which particular item they have to export from their own production in order to discharge then? export obligation.

Case against National Consumers Cooperative Federation

*236. SHRI MOHINDER SINGH LATHER: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state;

(a) whether Government are aware that the National Consumers Cooperative Federation has borrowed money from commercial banks in excess of value of goods mortgaged by the Federation with the banks;

(b) if so, whether the price of the moi-tgaged goods stated by the Federation was not correct and whether this was in the knowledge of those banks;

(c) if so, what are the reasons for which the concerned banks have advanced money t_0 the Federation in excess of the price of the goods;

»

(d) whether Government propose to conduct an inquiry into the matter; and

(e) if so, by when and if not, what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF FINANCE (PROF. MADHU DANDAVATE): (a) to (e) The National Consumers Cooperative Federation (NCCF) has been financed by a consortium of three public sector hanks viz. Canara Bank. State Bank of Saurashtra and